

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

---

ADRIAN HERRERA-PERALTA,	:	
	:	
Petitioner,	:	Civ. No. 20-8958 (NLH)
	:	
v.	:	OPINION
	:	
	:	
WARDEN DAVID ORTIZ,	:	
	:	
Respondent.	:	

---

APPEARANCE:

Adrian Herrera-Peralta  
69439-066  
Fort Dix Federal Correctional Institution  
P.O. Box 2000  
Joint Base MDL, NJ 08640

Petitioner Pro se

HILLMAN, District Judge

Petitioner Adrian Herrera-Peralta has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241. See ECF No. 1.

Filing Fee

The filing fee for a petition for writ of habeas corpus is \$5.00. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 54.3(a), the filing fee is required to be paid at the time the petition is presented for filing. Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 81.2(b), whenever a prisoner submits a petition for writ of habeas corpus and seeks to proceed in forma pauperis, that petitioner must submit (a) an affidavit setting forth information which establishes that the

petitioner is unable to pay the fees and costs of the proceedings, and (b) a certification signed by an authorized officer of the institution certifying (1) the amount presently on deposit in the prisoner's prison account and, (2) the greatest amount on deposit in the prisoner's institutional account during the six-month period prior to the date of the certification. If the institutional account of the petitioner exceeds \$200, the petitioner shall not be considered eligible to proceed in forma pauperis. L. Civ. R. 81.2(c).

Here, Petitioner has failed to either include the \$5 filing fee or an application to proceed in forma pauperis. A letter from Petitioner indicated that the fee was forthcoming, ECF No. 1-4, but the Court has not received the fee. Petitioner must either submit the \$5 filing fee or a complete application to proceed in forma pauperis for his habeas petition to be considered.

### Conclusion

For the reason set forth above, the Clerk of Court will be ordered to administratively terminate this Petition without prejudice.<sup>1</sup> Petitioner will be granted leave to apply to re-open

---

<sup>1</sup> Such an administrative termination is not a "dismissal" for purposes of the statute of limitations, and if the case is re-opened pursuant to the terms of the accompanying Order, it is not subject to the statute of limitations time bar if it was originally submitted timely. See Houston v. Lack, 487 U.S. 266 (1988) (prisoner mailbox rule); Papotto v. Hartford Life & Acc.

within thirty (30) days, by paying the filing fee of \$5.00 or submitted a complete in forma pauperis application. An appropriate Order will be entered.

Dated: August 12, 2020  
At Camden, New Jersey

s/ Noel L. Hillman  
NOEL L. HILLMAN, U.S.D.J.

---

Ins. Co., 731 F.3d 265, 275-76 (3d Cir. 2013) (collecting cases and explaining that a District Court retains jurisdiction over, and can re-open, administratively closed cases).